

Inclusion results associated with the Mittag-Leffler function

Ali Omar

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let \mathcal{S}_H denote the class of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ that are harmonic, univalent, normalized and sense-preserving within the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| < 1\}$, where h and g within the class \mathcal{A} of all analytic functions in \mathcal{U} having the following form (See Clunie and Sheil-Small [19]):

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n, |b_1| < 1$$

where h is called the analytic part and g is called the co-analytic part of f . Observe that, if the co-analytic part of the members of the class \mathcal{S}_H is zero, then \mathcal{S}_H reduces to the class \mathcal{S} of univalent functions.

The \mathcal{S}_H class, along with geometric subclasses of \mathcal{S}_H , was first examined in the early 1980s by Clunie and Sheil-Small [19], whose introduction of several properties for \mathcal{S}_H inspired further work on \mathcal{S}_H subclasses, (e.g. [1], [6], [7], [19], [31], [32] and [40]). These functions are significant because of their application in researching minimal surfaces and a range of applied mathematical problems.

Quantum calculus, or q -calculus is the subject of a range of research based on the multiple applications identified for it across different mathematical fields, in addition to significance to theoretical physics. The application of q -calculus was initiated by Jackson [27],[28] first explored q -calculus applications, systematically developing q -derivative and q -integral. In addition the Baskakov Durrmeyer operators q -analogue was put forward in [11], [12] and [13], on the basis of the beta function q -analogue. Q -calculus has also been generalised for complex operators with significant results in q -GaussWeierstrass and q -Picard singular integral operators, as [8] and [10] discuss. In addition, fractional q -derivative and fractional q -integral operators, among other q -calculus operators, have been applied in [1], [2], [3], [4], [21] [23] and [24]. On this basis, the derivation of q -analogues for operators within analytic functions may have potential significance. Aral et al. [14] gives a thorough discussion of q -analysis as applied within operator theory.

This study begins with definitions of the principal terms used and in-depth concepts for the applications of q -calculus used. In this report, it is assumed that $0 < q < 1$. Definitions are first given for fractional q -calculus operators in a complex-valued function $f(z)$, as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let $0 < q < 1$ and define the q -number $[n]_q$ by

$$[n]_q = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} & (n \in \mathbb{C}) \\ \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} q^k = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{m-1} & (n = m \in \mathbb{N}) \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.2. (see [27],[28]) The q -derivative (or the q -difference) operator D_q of a function f is defined by

$$D_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{(q-1)z} & (z \neq 0) \\ f'(z) & (z = 0). \end{cases}$$

In case $f(z) = z^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, the q -derivative of $f(z)$ is given by

$$D_q z^n = \frac{z^n - (zq)^n}{z(1-q)} = [n]_q z^{n-1}$$

where $[n]_q$ defined in Definition 1.1.

We note from Definition 1.2 that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} (D_q f)(z) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{f(zq) - f(z)}{(q-1)z} = f'(z).$$

Recent attention has been drawn to Mittag-Leffler function research, as this kind of function can be widely applied across engineering, chemical and biological sciences, physics and in applied science. Various factors in applying such functions are evident within chaotic, stochastic and dynamic systems, fractional differential equations, and distribution of statistics. The geometric characteristics such as convexity, close-to-convexity and starlikeness, of the functions investigated here have been broadly examined by many authors, and direct applications from such functions can be seen for a number of fractional calculus tools, including significant work by [9], [16], [18], [20], [23], [26], [33], [34], [38] and [39]. The Mittag-Leffler function $E_\sigma(z)$ is named after the Swedish mathematician who proposed it (as shown in [30]). It can be defined as:

$$E_\sigma(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\sigma n + 1)}$$

The initial two parametric generalisations for the function shown in (1.3) were given by Wiman [41], [42]. It is defined in the following way:

$$E_{\sigma, \delta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\sigma n + \delta)},$$

where $\sigma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(\sigma) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0$.

In 2014 Sharma and Jain [37] introduced the q -analogue of generalized MittagLeffler function $E_{\sigma, \delta}^\gamma(z; q)$ ($\sigma, \delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(\sigma) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\gamma) > 0$), which is defined by

$$E_{\sigma, \delta}^\gamma(z; q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^\gamma; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} \cdot \frac{z^n}{\Gamma_q(\sigma n + \delta)}, \quad (|q| < 1)$$

where $\Gamma_q(z)$ is the q -gamma function and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \Gamma_q(z) = \Gamma(z)$.

The q -analogue of the Pochhammer symbol (q -shifted factorial) is defined by (see[25])

$$(\lambda, q)_n = \begin{cases} (1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda q) \dots (1 - \lambda q^{n-1}), & n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ 1, & n = 0 \end{cases}$$

Further, the q -gamma function $\Gamma_q(z)$ satisfies the functional equation (see [15], [25])

$$\Gamma_q(z + 1) = \frac{1 - q^z}{1 - q} \Gamma_q(z) = [z]_q \Gamma_q(z)$$

Also,

$$(q^\lambda, q)_n = \frac{(1 - q)^n \Gamma_q(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma_q(\lambda)}. \quad (n > 0)$$

We define the function $Q_{\sigma, \delta}^\gamma(z)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\sigma, \delta}^\gamma(z) &= z \Gamma_q(\delta) E_{\sigma, \delta}^\gamma(z; q) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(\delta)(q^\gamma; q)_{n-1}}{\Gamma_q(\sigma(n-1) + \delta)(q; q)_{n-1}} z^n \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ we define the following differential operator: $D_{\lambda, q}^{\gamma, m}(\sigma, \delta)f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\lambda, q}^{\gamma, 0}(\sigma, \delta)f(z) &= f(z) * Q_{\sigma, \delta}^\gamma(z) \\ (1 - \lambda)(f(z) * Q_{\sigma, \delta}^\gamma(z)) &+ \lambda z D_q(f(z) * Q_{\sigma, \delta}^\gamma(z)) \\ D_{\lambda, q}^{\gamma, 1}(\sigma, \delta)f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)(f(z) * Q_{\sigma, \delta}^\gamma(z)) + \lambda z D_q(f(z) * Q_{\sigma, \delta}^\gamma(z)) \\ D_{\lambda, q}^{\gamma, m}(\sigma, \delta)f(z) &= D_{\lambda, q}^{\gamma, 1}(D_{\lambda, q}^{\gamma, m-1}(\sigma, \delta)f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ then from (1.5) and (1.6) we see that

$$D_{\lambda, q}^{\gamma, m}(\sigma, \delta)f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + ([n]_q - 1)\lambda]^m \frac{\Gamma_q(\delta)(q^\gamma; q)_{n-1}}{\Gamma_q(\sigma(n-1) + \delta)(q; q)_{n-1}} a_n z^n$$

Note that

- If $q \rightarrow 1$ and $\gamma = 1$, we obtain the operator in [22].
- If $q \rightarrow 1, \sigma = 0, \gamma = 1$ and $\delta = 1$, we obtain Al-Oboudi operator [5].
- If $q \rightarrow 1, \sigma = 0, \gamma = 1, \delta = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$, we obtain Sălăgean operator [36]
- If $q \rightarrow 1, m = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$, we obtain $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \delta}(z)$ [38]

In this paper, we define the operator $D_{\lambda, q}^{\gamma, m}(\sigma, \delta)f(z)$ in (1.7) of harmonic function $f = h + \bar{g}$ as

$$D_{\lambda, q}^{\gamma, m}(\sigma, \delta)f(z) = D_{\lambda, q}^{\gamma, m}(\sigma, \delta)h(z) + \overline{D_{\lambda, q}^{\gamma, m}(\sigma, \delta)g(z)} \quad z \in \mathcal{U}$$

where

$$D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma,\delta)h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + ([n]_q - 1)\lambda]^m \frac{\Gamma_q(\delta)(q^\gamma; q)_{n-1}}{\Gamma_q(\sigma(n-1) + \delta)(q; q)_{n-1}} a_n z^n,$$

$$D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma,\delta)g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 + ([n]_q - 1)\lambda]^m \frac{\Gamma_q(\delta)(q^\gamma; q)_{n-1}}{\Gamma_q(\sigma(n-1) + \delta)(q; q)_{n-1}} b_n z^n,$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lambda \geq 0$.

Using the operator $D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma,\delta)f(z)$, we introduce the class of harmonic univalent functions as illustrated below.

Definition 1.3. For $0 \leq \vartheta < 1$, the function $f = h + \bar{g}$ is in the class $S_H^{\gamma,m}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$ if satisfy the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z D_q \left(D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) h(z) \right) - \overline{z D_q \left(D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) g(z) \right)}}{D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) h(z) + \overline{D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) g(z)}} \right\} \geq \vartheta \quad |z| = r < 1.$$

Note that $S_H^{1,0}(0,0,1,q,\vartheta) = S_H(\vartheta)$ is the class of sense-preserving harmonic univalent functions which are starlike of order ϑ in \mathcal{U} defined by Jahangiri [29].

Let $S_H^{\gamma,m}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$ denote the subclass of $S_H^{\gamma,m}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$ consisting of harmonic functions $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are of the form

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n| z_n, \quad |b_1| < 1$$

2. Main Results

In our first result, we start with a sufficient coefficient condition for functions f in $S_H^{\gamma,m}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$, where $h(z)$ and $g(z)$ are defined by (1.1). If

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[n]_q - \vartheta}{1 - \vartheta} |a_n| + \frac{[n]_q + \vartheta}{1 - \vartheta} |b_n| \right] \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) \leq 1 - \frac{1 + \vartheta}{1 - \vartheta} |b_1|,$$

where $a_1 = 1, 0 \leq \vartheta < 1$ and $\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta)$ given by

$$\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) = \left| [1 + ([n]_q - 1)\lambda]^m \frac{\Gamma_q(\delta)(q^\gamma; q)_{n-1}}{\Gamma_q(\sigma(n-1) + \delta)(q; q)_{n-1}} \right|,$$

then f is sense-preserving, harmonic, univalent in \mathcal{U} , and $f \in S_H^{\gamma,m}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$

Proof. If $|z_1| \neq |z_2| < q$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z_1) - f(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| &\geq 1 - \left| \frac{g(z_1) - g(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| = 1 - \left| \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(z_1^n - z_2^n)}{(z_1 - z_2) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n(z_1^n - z_2^n)} \right| \\ &> 1 - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n]_q |b_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q |a_n|} \geq 1 - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[([n]_q + \vartheta) \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) / (1 - \vartheta) \right] |b_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[([n]_q - \vartheta) \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) / (1 - \vartheta) \right] |a_n|} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the univalence. Observe that, f is sense-preserving in \mathcal{U} , because

$$\begin{aligned} |D_q h(z)| &\geq \left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q |a_n| |z|^{n-1} \right) > \left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q - \vartheta) \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta)}{1 - \vartheta} |a_n| \right) \\ &\geq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q + \vartheta) \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta)}{1 - \vartheta} |b_n| \right) > \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q + \vartheta) \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta)}{1 - \vartheta} |b_n| |z| \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n]_q |b_n| |z|^{n-1} \geq |D_q g(z)| \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} [|D_q h(z)| \geq |D_q g(z)|] = [|h'(z)| \geq |g'(z)|]$

Now, we show that $f \in S_H^{\gamma,m}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$. From (1.8), we can write

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z D_q \left(D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) h(z) \right) - \overline{z D_q \left(D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) g(z) \right)}}{D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) h(z) + \overline{D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) g(z)}} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{C(z)}{E(z)} \right\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C(z) &= z D_q \left(D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) h(z) \right) - \overline{z D_q \left(D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) g(z) \right)} \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) a_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n]_q \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) \overline{b_n z^n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E(z) &= D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) h(z) + \overline{D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) g(z)} \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) \overline{b_n z^n} \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\operatorname{Re}(\omega) \geq \vartheta$ if and only if $|1 - \vartheta + \omega| \geq |1 + \vartheta - \omega|$, it suffices to show that

$$|C(z) + (1 - \vartheta)E(z)| - |C(z) - (1 + \vartheta)E(z)| \geq 0$$

Replacing for $C(z)$ and $E(z)$ in (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& |C(z) + (1 - \vartheta)E(z)| - |C(z) - (1 + \vartheta)E(z)| \\
&= \left| (2 - \vartheta)z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ([n]_q - \vartheta + 1)\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta)a_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ([n]_q + \vartheta - 1)\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta)\overline{b_n z^n} \right| \\
&- \left| -\vartheta z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ([n]_q - \vartheta - 1)\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta)a_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ([n]_q + \vartheta + 1)\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta)\overline{b_n z^n} \right| \\
&\geq (2 - \vartheta)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ([n]_q - \vartheta + 1)\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) \left| a_n \right| |z|^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ([n]_q + \vartheta - 1)\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) |b_n| |z|^n \\
&- \vartheta|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ([n]_q - \vartheta - 1)\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) \left| a_n \right| |z|^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ([n]_q + \vartheta + 1)\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) |b_n| |z|^n \\
&\geq 2(1 - \vartheta)|z| \left\{ 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q - \vartheta)\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta)}{1 - \vartheta} |a_n| |z|^{n-1} \right. \\
&- \left. \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{([n]_q + \vartheta)\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta)}{1 - \vartheta} |b_n| |a_n| |z|^{n-1} \right\} \\
&= 2(1 - \vartheta)|z| \left\{ 1 - \frac{1 + \vartheta}{1 - \vartheta} |b_1| - \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[n]_q - \vartheta}{1 - \vartheta} |a_n| + \frac{[n]_q + \vartheta}{1 - \vartheta} |b_n| \right] \right) \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the enquiringly (2.1), we see that the last expression is non-negative. This implies that $f \in S_H^{\gamma,m}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$.

Now, the necessary and sufficient condition for a function belongs to the class $S_H^{\gamma}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$ is obtained.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$. Then $f \in S_H^{\gamma,m}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[n]_q - \vartheta}{1 - \vartheta} |a_n| + \frac{[n]_q + \vartheta}{1 - \vartheta} |b_n| \right] \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) \leq 1 - \frac{1 + \vartheta}{1 - \vartheta} |b_1|$$

where $a_1 = 1, 0 \leq \vartheta < 1$ and $\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta)$ given by (2.2).

Proof. Since $S_H^{\gamma,m}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta) \subseteq S_H^{\gamma}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$, we only need to prove the only if part of the theorem. To this purpose, for functions $f \in S_H^{\gamma,m}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$, we notice that (1.8) is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z D_q \left(D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) h(z) \right) - \overline{z D_q \left(D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) g(z) \right)}}{D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) h(z) + \overline{D_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) g(z)}} - \vartheta \right\} \geq 0.$$

That is

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{(1 - \vartheta)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ([n]_q - \vartheta) \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) |a_n| z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ([n]_q + \vartheta) \overline{\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta)} |b_n| \bar{z}^n}{z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) |a_n| z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta)} |b_n| \bar{z}^n} \right] \geq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

The above condition must hold for all values of z in \mathcal{U} . Upon selecting the values of z on the positive real axis where $0 \leq z = r < 1$, we should have

$$\frac{(1 - \vartheta) - (1 + \vartheta)b_1 - \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) [([n]_q - \vartheta)|a_n| + ([n]_q + \vartheta)|b_n|] r^{n-1} \right)}{1 + |b_1| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) [|a_n| + |b_n|] r^{n-1}} \geq 0$$

If the condition (2.4) does not hold, then the numerator in (2.6) is negative for r sufficiently close to 1. Hence there exist $z_0 = r_0$ in $(0, 1)$ for which the quotient of (2.6) is negative. Then, $f \in S_{\frac{\gamma,m}{H}}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$ and the proof is complete.

Next, we determine the growth bounds for functions $f \in S_{\frac{\gamma,m}{H}}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, q, \vartheta)$.

Theorem 2.3. If $f \in S_{\frac{\gamma,m}{H}}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$ then

$$|f(z)| \leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{\Gamma_q(\sigma + \delta)}{[\gamma]_q [1 + \lambda]^m \Gamma_q(\delta)} \left(\frac{1 - \vartheta}{1 + q - \vartheta} - \frac{1 + \vartheta}{1 + q - \vartheta} |b_1| \right) r^2, \quad |z| = r < 1,$$

and

$$|f(z)| \geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \frac{\Gamma_q(\sigma + \delta)}{[\gamma]_q [1 + \lambda]^m \Gamma_q(\delta)} \left(\frac{1 - \vartheta}{1 + q - \vartheta} - \frac{1 + \vartheta}{1 + q - \vartheta} |b_1| \right) r^2, \quad |z| = r < 1$$

Proof. The left-hand inequality was proved where as the proof for the right hand Inequality will be omitted for being similar. Let $f \in S_{\frac{\gamma,m}{H}}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$. Taking the absolute value of f , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(z)| &= \left| z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \bar{z}^n \right| \\
&\geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|)r^n \\
&\geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|)r^2 \\
&= (1 - |b_1|)r - \frac{(1 - \vartheta)\Gamma_q(\sigma + \delta)}{(1 + q - \vartheta)[\gamma]_q[1 + \lambda]^m\Gamma_q(\delta)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(1 + q) - \vartheta}{1 - \vartheta} |a_n| + \frac{(1 + q) - \vartheta}{1 - \vartheta} |b_n| \right) \frac{[\gamma]_q[1 + \lambda]^m\Gamma_q(\delta)}{\Gamma_q(\sigma + \delta)} r^2 \\
&\geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \frac{(1 - \vartheta)\Gamma_q(\sigma + \delta)}{(1 + q - \vartheta)[\gamma]_q[1 + \lambda]^m\Gamma_q(\delta)} \left(1 - \frac{1 + \vartheta}{1 - \vartheta} |b_1| \right) r^2 \\
&= (1 - |b_1|)r - \frac{\Gamma_q(\sigma + \delta)}{[\gamma]_q[1 + \lambda]^m\Gamma_q(\delta)} \left(\frac{1 - \vartheta}{(1 + q) - \vartheta} - \frac{1 + \vartheta}{(1 + q) - \vartheta} |b_1| \right) r^2
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof is complete.

Subsequent to work performed by Avici and Zotkiewicz [17] and Ruscheweyh [35], the q -neighborhood of a function $f \in S_H$, $\nu \geq 0$ is defined herewith as follows:

$$N_\nu^q(f) = \left\{ F = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{B_n z^n} : \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q (|a_n - A_n| + |b_n - B_n|) + |b_1 - B_1| \leq \nu \right\}$$

In our case, let us define the generalised $q - \nu$ -neighborhood of f to be the set

$$\begin{aligned}
N_\nu^q(f) = \left\{ F = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{B_n z^n} : \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Theta_{\lambda, q}^{\gamma, m}(\sigma, \delta) [([n]_q - \vartheta) |a_n - A_n| \right. \\
\left. + ([n]_q + \vartheta) |b_n - B_n|] + (1 + \vartheta) |b_1 - B_1| \leq (1 - \vartheta) \nu \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.4. Let $f \in S_H$. If satisfies the conditions

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q \Theta_{\lambda, q}^{\gamma, m}(\sigma, \delta) [([n]_q - \vartheta) |a_n| + ([n]_q + \vartheta) |b_n|] \leq (1 - \vartheta) - (1 + \vartheta) |b_1|, \quad 0 \leq \vartheta < 1$$

and

$$\nu \leq \frac{q - \vartheta}{[2]_q - \vartheta} \left(1 - \frac{1 + \vartheta}{1 - \vartheta} |b_1| \right)$$

then $N_\nu^q(f) \subset S_H^{\gamma, m}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$

Proof. Let f satisfies (2.7) and

$$F(z) = z + \overline{B_1}z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (A_n z^n + \overline{B_n} z^n)$$

which belongs to $N_v^q(f)$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \vartheta)|B_1| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) [([n]_q - \vartheta)|A_n| + ([n]_q + \vartheta)|B_n|] \\ & \leq (1 + \vartheta)|B_1 - b_1| + (1 + \vartheta)|b_1| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) [([n]_q - \vartheta)|A_n - a_n| + ([n]_q + \vartheta)|B_n - b_n|] \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) [([n]_q - \vartheta)|a_n| + ([n]_q + \vartheta)|b_n|] \\ & \leq (1 - \vartheta)v + (1 + \vartheta)|b_1| + \frac{1}{[2]_q - \vartheta} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q \Theta_{\lambda,q}^{\gamma,m}(\sigma, \delta) [([n]_q - \vartheta)|A_n| + ([n]_q + \vartheta)|B_n|] \\ & \leq (1 - \vartheta)v + (1 + \vartheta)|b_1| + \frac{1}{[2]_q - \vartheta} [(1 - \vartheta) - (1 + \vartheta)|b_1|] \leq 1 - \vartheta \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $v \leq \frac{q-\vartheta}{[2]_q-\vartheta} \left(1 - \frac{1+\vartheta}{1-\vartheta}|b_1|\right)$, then $F(z) \in S_H^{\gamma,m}(\lambda, \sigma, \delta, q, \vartheta)$.

3. References

1. M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Eds.), Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1965.
2. A. A. Attiya, Some applications of Mittag-Leffler function in the unit disk, Filomat 30(7) (2016), 2075-2081. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1607075A>
3. D. Bansal and J. K. Prajapat, Certain geometric properties of the Mittag-Leffler functions, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 61(3) (2016), 338-350. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2015.1079628>
4. T. Bulboacă and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Univalent functions with positive coefficients involving Pascal distribution series, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 35(3) (2020), 867 – 877. <https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.c190413>
5. N. E. Cho, S. Y. Woo and S. Owa, Uniform convexity properties for hypergeometric functions, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 5(3) (2002), 303-313.
6. P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften Series 259, Springer Verlag, New York, 1983. [7] M. El-Deeb, T. Bulboacă and J.

- Dziok, Pascal distribution series connected with certain subclasses of univalent functions, Kyungpook Math. J. **59** (2019), 301-314. <https://doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2019.59.2.301>
7. B. A. Frasin, An application of an operator associated with generalized Mittag-Leffler function, Konuralp J. Math. 7(1) (2019), 199-202.
 8. B. A. Frasin, T. Al-Hawary and F. Yousef, Some properties of a linear operator involving generalized Mittag-Leffler function, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. 65(1) (2020), 67 – 75. <https://doi.org/10.24193/subbmath.2020.1.06>
 9. B. A. Frasin, T. Al-Hawary and F. Yousef, Necessary and sufficient conditions for hypergeometric functions to be in a subclass of analytic functions, Afr. Mat. 30(1-2) (2019), 223-230. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-018-0638-5>
 10. H. J. Haubold, A. M. Mathai and R. K. Saxena, Mittag-Leffler functions and their applications, J. Appl. Math. 2011(2011), Article ID 298628. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/298628>
 11. V. Kiryakova, Generalized Fractional Calculus and Applications, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series 301, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994.
 12. E. Merkes and B. T. Scott, Starlike hypergeometric functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), 885-888.
 13. G. M. Mittag-Leffler, Sur la nouvelle fonction $E(x)$, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 137(1903), 554 – 558.
 14. G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Subordination results for spiral-like functions associated with the Srivastava-Attiya operator, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 23(2) (2012), 97-103. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10652469.2011.562501>
 15. G. Murugusundaramoorthy, D. Răducanu and K. Vijaya, A class of spirallike functions defined by Ruscheweyh-type q -difference operator, Novi Sad J. Math. **49**(2)(2019), 59 – 71. <https://doi.org/10.30755/NSJOM.08284>
 16. G. Murugusundaramoorthy, K. Vijaya and S. Porwal, Some inclusion results of certain subclass of analytic functions associated with Poisson distribution series, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 45(4) (2016), 1101-1107. <https://doi.org/10.15672/HJMS.20164513110>

17. G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Subclasses of starlike and convex functions involving Poisson distribution series, Afr. Mat. 28(2017), 1357-1366.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-017-0520-x>
18. S. Porwal and M. Kumar, A unified study on starlike and convex functions associated with Poisson distribution series, Afr. Mat. 27(5) (2016), 1021-1027.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-016-0398-z>
19. M. S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. of Math. (2) 37(2) (1936), 374-408.
20. H. Silverman, Starlike and convexity properties for hypergeometric functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 172 (1993), 574-581. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1993.1044>
21. H. M. Srivastava, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and S. Sivasubramanian, Hypergeometric functions in the parabolic starlike and uniformly convex domains, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 18 (2007), 511 – 520. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10652460701391324>
22. L. Spáček, Contribution à la théorie des fonctions univalentes, Časopis Pro Pěstování Matematiky 62(1932), 12 – 19.
23. A. Swaminathan, Certain sufficient conditions on Gaussian hypergeometric functions, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics 5(4) (2004), Article ID 83, 10 pages.
24. A. Wiman, Über die nullstellen der funktionen $E_{\alpha}(x)$, Acta Math. 29 (1905), 217-134.
25. T. R. Prabhakar, A single integral equation with a generalized Mittag – Leffler function in the kernel, Yokohama Math. J. 19 (1971), 7 – 15.
26. Salah, J. and Darus, M., A note on Generalized Mittag-Leffler function and Application, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS) 48 (1), 33–46, 2011.
27. [Omar Jawabreh](#), [Ahmad Abdel Qader](#), [Jamal Salah](#), [Khaled Al Mashrafi](#), [Emad Al Dein AL Fahmawee](#), [Basel J. A. Ali](#), Fractional Calculus Analysis of Tourism Mathematical Model, Progr. Fract. Differ. Appl. Vol. 9, No. S1, pp. 1 -11 202) DOI: 10.18576/pfda/09S101 URL:
<https://www.naturalspublishing.com/Article.asp?ArtcID=26373>

28. Jamal Salah, Maryam Al Hashmi and Khaled Al Mashrafi, Some propositions on mathematical models of population growth, International Journal of Latest Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJLTET)Special Issue - ICTIMESH - 2022, 64-69.
URL: https://www.ijltet.org/journal_details.php?id=978&j_id=5050
29. Jamal Salah, Hameed Ur Rehman, and, Iman Al- Buwaiqi, Inclusion Results of a Generalized Mittag-Leffler-Type Poisson Distribution in the k-Uniformly Janowski Starlike and the k-Janowski Convex Functions, Mathematics and Statistics, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 22-27, 2023.
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2023.110103
30. Jamal Salah, Riemann and Big Bang Hypotheses, NEUROQUANTOLOGY, Vol. 20, No. 16, pp. 1592-1597, 2022. DOI: 10.48047/NQ.2022.20.16. NQ880156
31. Jamal Salah, Hameed Ur Rehman, and, Iman Al- Buwaiqi, Subclasses of Spiral-Like Functions Associated with the Generalized Mittag-Leffler Function, NEUROQUANTOLOGY, Vol. 20, No. 15, pp. 4784-4799, 2022. DOI: 10.14704/NQ.2022.20.15. NQ88484
32. Jamal Salah, Maryam Al Hashmi, Hameed Ur Rehman, Khaled Al Mashrafi, Modified Mathematical Models in Biology by the Means of Caputo Derivative of A Function with Respect to Another Exponential Function, Mathematics and Statistics, Vol. 10, No. 6, pp. 1194 - 1205, 2022. DOI: 10.13189/ms.2022.100605
33. Jamal Salah, Hameed Ur Rehman, and, Iman Al- Buwaiqi, The Non-Trivial Zeros of the Riemann Zeta Function through Taylor Series Expansion and Incomplete Gamma Function, Mathematics and Statistics, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 410-418, 2022, DOI: 10.13189/ms.2022.100216.
34. Jamal Salah, Some Remarks and Propositions on Riemann Hypothesis, Mathematics and Statistics, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 159-165, 2021, DOI: 10.13189/ms.2021.090210.
35. Hameed Ur Rehman, Malina Darus, and Jamal Salah, Generalizing Certain Analytic Functions Correlative to the n-th Coefficient of Certain Class of Bi-Univalent Functions, Hindawi Journal of Mathematics Volume 2021, Article ID 6621315, 14 pages DOI: [10.1155/2021/6621315](https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6621315)
36. Salah, J., (2021). Applications of differential Subordination and Superordination Involving Certain Fractional Operator. Current Topics on Mathematics and Computer Science, vol. 1, pp. 7–16, 2021 DOI: [10.9734/bpi/ctmcs/v1/9050D](https://doi.org/10.9734/bpi/ctmcs/v1/9050D)
37. Jamal Y. Mohammad Salah, The Consequence of the Analytic Continuity of Zeta Function Subject to an Additional Term and a Justification of the Location of the Non-Trivial Zeros, International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), Vol. 9, Issue 3, March 2020, pp. 1566-1569, March 2020, URL: https://www.ijsr.net/get_abstract.php?paper_id=SR20328201050
38. Jamal Y. Mohammad Salah, An Alternative perspective to Riemann Hypothesis, PSYCHOLOGY AND EDUCATION, vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 1278-1281, 2020, URL: [file:///C:/Users/drjlamals/Downloads/454-Article%20Text-738-1-10-20210128%20\(1\).pdf](file:///C:/Users/drjlamals/Downloads/454-Article%20Text-738-1-10-20210128%20(1).pdf)
39. Jamal Y. Mohammad Salah, Two Conditional proofs of Riemann Hypothesis, International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research (IJSBAR), vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 74-83, 2020, URL: <https://gssrr.org/index.php/JournalOfBasicAndApplied/article/view/10720>

40. Jamal Salah, TWO NEW EQUIVALENT STATEMENTS TO RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS) Volume 118, Issue1, 2019, Pages 1- 8. Sept 2019.
DOI: [10.17654/MS118010001](https://doi.org/10.17654/MS118010001)
41. Jamal Y. Salah, A NEW SUBCLASS OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY THE MEANS OF JAMAL OPERATOR, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS) Vol. 108, No. 2, 2018, pp. 389-399, 2018, Dec 2018, DOI: [10.17654/MS108020389](https://doi.org/10.17654/MS108020389).
42. Hameed Ur Rehman, Maslina Darus and **Jamal Salah**, Graphing Examples of Starlike and Convex Functions of order β , Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 509-515, 2018,
DOI: [10.18576/amis/120305](https://doi.org/10.18576/amis/120305)
43. Jamal Salah, Hameed Ur Rehman and Maslina Darus, A Note on Caputo's derivative interpretation in Economy, J. Appl. Math, pp. 1-7, 2018, DOI: [10.1155/2018/1260240](https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/1260240).
44. Hameed Ur Rehman, Maslina Darus and Jamal Salah, Normalization of the generalized K– Mittag-Leffler function and ratio to its sequence of partial sums, BSG Proceedings, vol. 25, 2018, pp. 78-94.
45. Hameed Ur Rehman, Maslina Darus and Jamal Salah, COEFFICIENT PROPERTIES INVOLVING THE GENERALIZED K–MITTAG-LEFFLER FUNCTIONS, Trans. J. Math. Mecha. (TJMM) 9 (2017), No. 2, 155-164
46. Jamal Y. Salah. Closed-to-Convex Criterion Associated to the Modified Caputo's fractional Calculus Derivative Operator. Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS). Vol. 101, No. 1, pp. 55-59, 2017, DOI: [10.17654/MS101010055](https://doi.org/10.17654/MS101010055).
47. Jamal Y. Salah. Properties of the Modified Caputo's Derivative Operator for certain analytic functions. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. September 2016, Vol. 109, No. 3, pp. 665 – 671, 2014, DOI: [10.12732/ijpam.v109i3.14](https://doi.org/10.12732/ijpam.v109i3.14)
48. Jamal Salah. A Note on the Modified Caputo's Derivative Operator. Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS). Vol. 100, No. 4, pp. 609-615, 2016,
DOI: [10.17654/MS100040609](https://doi.org/10.17654/MS100040609)
49. S. Venkatesh, Jamal Salah, G. Sethuraman. "Some Results on E – Cordial Graphs", *International Journal of Mathematical Trends and Technology (IJMTT)*. V7:121-125 March 2014.
DOI: [10.14445/22315373/IJMTT-V7P516](https://doi.org/10.14445/22315373/IJMTT-V7P516)
50. Jamal Y. Salah, A Note on Gamma Function, International Journal of Modern Sciences and Engineering Technology (IJMSET), vol. 2, no. 8, pp. 58-64, 2015,
51. Jamal Y. Salah, On Riemann Hypothesis and Robin's Inequality, International Journal of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical Research (IJSIMR), vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 9-14, April 2015,
URL: <https://www.arcjournals.org/pdfs/ijsimr/v3-i4/4.pdf>
52. Jamal Y. Salah, **A Note on Riemann Zeta Function**, IOSR Journal of Engineering (IOSRJEN), vol. 06, no. 02, pp. 07-16, February 2016,
URL: [http://iosrjen.org/Papers/vol6_issue2%20\(part-3\)/B06230716.pdf](http://iosrjen.org/Papers/vol6_issue2%20(part-3)/B06230716.pdf)
53. Jamal Y. Salah, A NOTE ON THE HURWITZ ZETA FUNCTION, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS), vol. 101, no. 12, pp. 2677-2683, June 2017,
DOI: [10.17654/MS101122677](https://doi.org/10.17654/MS101122677)
54. Jamal Salah and Venkatesh Srivastava. Inequalities on the Theory of Univalent Function. Journal of Mathematics and System Science, vol. 4, pp. 509-513, 2014.
DOI: [10.17265/2159-5291/2014.07.008](https://doi.org/10.17265/2159-5291/2014.07.008)

55. Jamal Salah. Neighborhood of a certain family of multivalent functions with negative coefficients. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. (IJPAM)*. Vol. 92, No 4, April 2014,
DOI: 10.12732/ijpam.v92i4.14
56. Jamal Salah. Fekete-Szego problems involving certain integral operator. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology. IJMTT*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 54-60, 2014.
DOI: 10.14445/22315373/IJMTT-V7P508
57. Jamal Salah. Subordination and superordination involving certain fractional operator. *Asian Journal of Fuzzy and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 1, pp. 98-107, 2013.
URL: <https://www.ajouronline.com/index.php/AJFAM/article/view/724>
58. Jamal Salah. Certain subclass of analytic functions associated with fractional calculus operator. *Trans. J. Math. Mecha*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 35-42, 2011.
URL: <http://tjmm.edyopress.ro/journal/11030106.pdf>
59. Jamal Salah. A note on Starlike functions of order α associated with a fractional calculus operator involving Caputo's fractional. *J. Appl. comp Sc. Math*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 97- 101, 2011,
URL: https://www.jacsm.ro/view/?pid=10_16
60. Jamal Salah and Maslina Darus. On convexity of general integral operators.
J. Anale. Universitatii. De Vest. Timisoara. Seria
Matematica – Informatica XLIX. 1 (2011) 117-124.
61. Jamal Salah and Maslina Darus. A note on generalized Mittag-Leffler and Application. *Far East. Math Sc. (FJMS)*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 33-46, 2011.
URL: <http://www.pphmj.com/abstract/5478.htm>
62. Jamal Salah and Maslina Darus. A subclass of uniformly convex functions associated with fractional calculus operator involving Caputo's fractional differentiation. *Acta. Univ. APL*. Vol. 24, pp. 295-306, 2010.
63. D. G. Cantor, "Power series with integral coefficients," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 69 , no. 3, pp. 362 – 367, 1963.
64. R. Vein and P. Dale, "Determinants and Their Applications in Mathematical Physics," in *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 134, Springer, New York, 1999.
65. R. Wilson, "Determinantal criteria for meromorphic functions," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. s3-4, no. 1, pp. 357-374, 1954.
66. C. Pommerenke, "On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. s1-41, no. 1, pp. 111-122, 1966. [5] C. Pommerenke, "On the Hankel determinants of univalent functions," *Mathematika*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 108-112, 1967.
67. D. Bansal, "Upper bound of second Hankel determinant for a new class of analytic functions," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 103-107, 2013.
68. M. M. Elhosh, "On the second Hankel determinant of univalent functions," *Bull. Malays. Math. Soc.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 23-25, 1986.

69. J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, "On the Hankel determinants of areally mean p-valent functions," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. s3-25, no. 3, pp. 503-524, 1972.
70. J. W. Noonan, "Coefficient differences and Hankel determinants of areally mean p-valent functions," *Proceedings of American Mathematical Society*, vol. 46, pp. 29-37, 1974.
71. J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, "On the second Hankel determinant of areally mean p-valent functions," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 223, pp. 337-346, 1976.
72. M. M. Elhosh, "On the second Hankel determinant of close-toconvex functions," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 67-68, 1986.
73. M. Arif, K. I. Noor, and M. Raza, "Hankel determinant problem of a subclass of analytic functions," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2012, Article ID 22, 2012.
74. T. Hayami and S. Owa, "Generalized Hankel determinant for certain classes," *International Journal of Mathematics and Analysis*, vol. 4, no. 49-52, pp. 2573-2585, 2010.
75. T. Hayami and S. Owa, "Applications of Hankel determinant for p-valently starlike and convex functions of order α ," *Far East Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 1-23, 2010.
76. T. Hayami and S. Owa, "Hankel determinant for p-valently starlike and convex functions of order α ," *General Math*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 29-44, 2009.
77. A. Janteng, S. A. Halim, and M. Darus, "Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions," *International Journal of Mathematics and Analysis*, vol. 1, no. 13-16, pp. 619-625, 2007.
78. A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, "Second Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions defined by fractional derivative," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2008, Article ID 153280, 10 pages, 2008.
79. N. Mohamed, D. Mohamad, and S. Cik Soh, "Second Hankel determinant for certain generalized classes of analytic functions," *International Journal of Mathematics and Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 17-20, pp. 807-812, 2012.
80. G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, "Coefficient inequalities for certain classes of analytic functions associated with Hankel determinant," *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 85-89, 2009.
81. K. I. Noor, "Higher order close-to-convex functions," *Japanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 1-8, 1992.
82. K. I. Noor, "On the Hankel determinant problem for strongly close-to-convex functions," *Journal of Natural Geometry*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 29-34, 1997.
83. K. I. Noor, "On certain analytic functions related with strongly close-to-convex functions," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 197, no. 1, pp. 149-157, 2008. [23] K. I. Noor and S. A. Al-Bany, "On Bazilevic functions," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 1, 88 pages, 1987.
84. K. I. Noor, "On analytic functions related with functions of bounded boundary rotation," *Commentarii Mathematici Universitatis Sancti Pauli*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 113-118, 1981.
85. K. I. Noor, "On meromorphic functions of bounded boundary rotation," *Caribb. J. Math.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 95-103, 1982.
86. K. I. Noor, "Hankel determinant problem for the class of functions with bounded boundary rotation," *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 731739, 1983.
87. K. I. Noor and I. M. A. Al-Naggar, "On the Hankel determinant problem," *Journal of Natural Geometry*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 133-140, 1998.

88. R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran, and S. Supramaniam, "The Fekete-Szegő coefficient functional for transforms of analytic functions," *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 119-142, 2009.
89. R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, and N. Seenivasagan, "Coefficient bounds for p -valent functions," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 187, no. 1, pp. 35-46, 2007. W. C. Ma and D. Minda, "A united treatment of some special classes of univalent functions," in *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis*, Tianjin, 1992.
90. R. K. Raina and J. Sokół, "On coefficient estimates for a certain class of starlike functions, Hacet," *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 1427-1433, 2015.
91. G. Murugusundaramoorthy and T. Bulboacă, "Hankel determinants for new subclasses of analytic functions related to a shell shaped region," *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 6, article 1041, 2020.
92. S. Gandhi, "Radius Estimates for Three Leaf Function and Convex Combination of Starlike Functions," in *Mathematical Analysis I: Approximation Theory. ICRAPAM 2018*, vol. 306 of *Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics*, Springer, Singapore.
93. S. N. Malik, S. Mahmood, M. Raza, S. Farman, and S. Zainab, "Coefficient inequalities of functions associated with petal type domains," *Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 12, p. 298, 2018.
94. K. Sharma, N. K. Jain, and V. Ravichandran, "Starlike functions associated with a cardioid," *Afrika Matematika*, vol. 27, pp. 923-939, 2016.
95. V. S. Masih and S. Kanas, "Subclasses of starlike and convex functions associated with the Limaçon domain," *Symmetry*, vol. 12, no. 6, p. 942, 2020.
96. Y. Yuzaimi, A. H. Suzeini, and A. B. Akbarally, "Subclass of starlike functions associated with a limaçon," *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 1974, article 030023, 2018.
97. P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, vol. 259, Springer, New York, 1983.
98. U. Grenander and G. Szegő, *Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications*. California Monographs in Mathematical Sciences, University of California Press, Berkeley, 1958.
99. M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Eds.), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1965.
100. A. A. Attiya, Some applications of Mittag-Leffler function in the unit disk, *Filomat* 30(7) (2016), 2075-2081. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1607075A>
101. D. Bansal and J. K. Prajapat, Certain geometric properties of the Mittag-Leffler functions, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* 61(3) (2016), 338-350. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2015.1079628>
102. T. Bulboacă and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Univalent functions with positive coefficients involving Pascal distribution series, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* 35(3) (2020), 867 – 877. <https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.c190413>
103. N. E. Cho, S. Y. Woo and S. Owa, Uniform convexity properties for hypergeometric functions, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* 5(3) (2002), 303-313.
104. P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften Series 259*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1983. [7] M. El-Deeb, T. Bulboacă and J. Dziok, Pascal distribution series connected with certain subclasses of univalent functions, *Kyungpook Math. J.* **59** (2019), 301-314. <https://doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2019.59.2.301>
105. B. A. Frasin, An application of an operator associated with generalized Mittag-Leffler function, *Konuralp J. Math.* 7(1) (2019), 199-202.
106. B. A. Frasin, T. Al-Hawary and F. Yousef, Some properties of a linear operator involving generalized Mittag-Leffler function, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* 65(1) (2020), 67 – 75. <https://doi.org/10.24193/subbbmath.2020.1.06>

107. B. A. Frasin, T. Al-Hawary and F. Yousef, Necessary and sufficient conditions for hypergeometric functions to be in a subclass of analytic functions, *Afr. Mat.* 30(1-2) (2019), 223-230. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-018-0638-5>
108. H. J. Haubold, A. M. Mathai and R. K. Saxena, Mittag-Leffler functions and their applications, *J. Appl. Math.* 2011(2011), Article ID 298628. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/298628>
109. V. Kiryakova, *Generalized Fractional Calculus and Applications*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series 301, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994.
110. E. Merkes and B. T. Scott, Starlike hypergeometric functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 12 (1961), 885-888.
111. G. M. Mittag-Leffler, Sur la nouvelle fonction $E(x)$, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 137(1903), 554 – 558.
112. G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Subordination results for spiral-like functions associated with the Srivastava-Attiya operator, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 23(2) (2012), 97-103. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10652469.2011.562501>
113. G. Murugusundaramoorthy, D. Răducanu and K. Vijaya, A class of spirallike functions defined by Ruscheweyh-type q -difference operator, *Novi Sad J. Math.* 49(2)(2019), 59 – 71. <https://doi.org/10.30755/NSJOM.08284>
114. G. Murugusundaramoorthy, K. Vijaya and S. Porwal, Some inclusion results of certain subclass of analytic functions associated with Poisson distribution series, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 45(4) (2016), 1101-1107. <https://doi.org/10.15672/HJMS.20164513110>
115. G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Subclasses of starlike and convex functions involving Poisson distribution series, *Afr. Mat.* 28(2017), 1357-1366. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-017-0520-x>
116. S. Porwal and M. Kumar, A unified study on starlike and convex functions associated with Poisson distribution series, *Afr. Mat.* 27(5) (2016), 1021-1027. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-016-0398-z>
117. M. S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 37(2) (1936), 374-408.
118. Salah, Jamal, Hameed Ur Rehman, and Iman Al-Buwaiqi. "The Non-Trivial Zeros of the Riemann Zeta Function through Taylor Series Expansion and Incomplete Gamma Function." *Mathematics and Statistics* 10.2 (2022): 410-418.
119. Rehman, Hameed Ur, Maslina Darus, and Jamal Salah. "Graphing Examples of Starlike and Convex Functions of order β ." *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci* 12.3 (2018): 509-515.
120. Salah, Jamal Y., and O. M. A. N. Ibra. "Properties of the Modified Caputo's Derivative Operator for certain analytic functions." *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 109.3 (2014): 665-671.
121. Jamal Salah and Maslina Darus, On convexity of the general integral operators, *An. Univ. Vest Timis. Ser. Mat. -Inform.* 49(1) (2011), 117-124.
122. Salah, Jamal. "TWO NEW EQUIVALENT STATEMENTS TO RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS." (2019).
123. H. Silverman, Starlike and convexity properties for hypergeometric functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 172 (1993), 574-581. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1993.1044>
124. H. M. Srivastava, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and S. Sivasubramanian, Hypergeometric functions in the parabolic starlike and uniformly convex domains, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 18 (2007), 511 – 520. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10652460701391324>

125. L. Spaček, Contribution à la théorie des fonctions univalentes, Časopis Pro Pěstování Matematiky **62**(1932), 12 – 19.
126. Salah, Jamal Y. "A new subclass of univalent functions defined by the means of Jamal operator." *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS)* Vol 108 (2018): 389-399.
127. Jamal Y. Salah On Riemann Hypothesis and Robin's Inequality. International Journal of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical Research (IJSIMR). Volume (3) 4 (2015) 9-14.
128. Salah, Jamal. "Neighborhood of a certain family of multivalent functions with negative coefficients." *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math* 92.4 (2014): 591-597.
129. Salah, Jamal, and Maslina Darus. "A note on Starlike functions of order α associated with a fractional calculus operator involving Caputo's fractional." *J. Appl. comp Sc. Math* 5.1 (2011): 97-101.
130. J. Salah, Certain subclass of analytic functions associated with fractional calculus operator, Trans. J. Math. Mech., 3 (2011), 35–42. Available from: <http://tjmm.edyopress.ro/journal/11030106.pdf>.
131. Salah, Jamal. "Some Remarks and Propositions on Riemann Hypothesis." *Mathematics and Statistics* 9.2 (2021): 159-165.
132. Salah, Jamal Y. Mohammad. "The consequence of the analytic continuity of Zeta function subject to an additional term and a justification of the location of the non-trivial zeros." *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)* 9.3 (2020): 1566-1569.
- 133.
134. A. Swaminathan, Certain sufficient conditions on Gaussian hypergeometric functions, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics 5(4) (2004), Article ID 83, 10 pages.
135. A. Wiman, Über die nullstellen der funktionen $E_\alpha(x)$, Acta Math. 29 (1905), 217-134.
136. Salah, Jamal Y. Mohammad. "An Alternative perspective to Riemann Hypothesis." *PSYCHOLOGY AND EDUCATION* 57.9 (2020): 1278-1281.
137. Salah, Jamal Y. "A note on the Hurwitz zeta function." *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS)* 101.12 (2017): 2677-2683.
138. Jamal Y. Salah. Closed-to-Convex Criterion Associated to the Modified Caputo's fractional Calculus Derivative Operator. Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS). Vol. 101, No. 1, pp. 55-59, 2017, DOI: 10.17654/MS101010055.
139. Jamal Y. Salah, A Note on Riemann Zeta Function, IOSR Journal of Engineering (IOSRJEN), vol. 06, no. 02, pp. 07-16, February 2016, URL: [http://iosrjen.org/Papers/vol6_issue2%20\(part-3\)/B06230716.pdf](http://iosrjen.org/Papers/vol6_issue2%20(part-3)/B06230716.pdf)
140. Jamal Y. Salah, A Note on Gamma Function, International Journal of Modern Sciences and Engineering Technology (IJMSET), vol. 2, no. 8, pp. 58-64, 2015.
141. Salah, Jamal, and S. Venkatesh. "Inequalities on the Theory of Univalent Functions." *Journal of Mathematics and System Science* 4.7 (2014).
142. T. R. Prabhakar, A single integral equation with a generalized Mittag – Leffler function in the kernel, Yokohama Math. J. 19 (1971), 7 – 15.

143. [Omar Jawabreh](#), [Ahmad Abdel Qader](#), [Jamal Salah](#), [Khaled Al Mashrafi](#), [Emad Al Dein AL Fahmawee](#), [Basel J. A. Ali](#), Fractional Calculus Analysis of Tourism Mathematical Model, Progr. Fract. Differ. Appl. Vol. 9, No. S1, pp. 1 -11 202) DOI: 10.18576/pfda/09S101 URL:
144. Jamal Salah. Subordination and superordination involving certain fractional operator. Asian Journal of Fuzzy and Applied Mathematics, vol. 1, pp. 98-107, 2013. URL: <https://www.ajouronline.com/index.php/AJFAM/article/view/724>
145. Salah, Jamal Y. Mohammad. "Two Conditional proofs of Riemann Hypothesis." *International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research (IJSBAR)* 49.1 (2020): 74-83.
146. Salah, Jamal. "Fekete-Szego problems involving certain integral operator." *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology-IJMTT* 7 (2014).